

# Advanced Visual Analytics of Safety Data from Different Data Sources

Melvin S. Munsaka, PhD, AbbVie On behalf of the ASA Safety Monitoring Work Group BASS XXV, 2018

## The Team



Melvin Munsaka AbbVie

#### **ASA Visual Analytics Current Subteam**



Kefei Zhou Jazz Pharma

Meng Liu

AbbVie



```
Krishan Singh
GSK
```



Michael Colopy UCB



Chen Chen AbbVie

#### Acknowledgements





Mat Soukup FDA

## Disclaimer

 Opinions expressed in this presentation are the authors' own and do not represent in any way opinions of their respective employers

#### Mantra





#### Motivation

ClinicalTrials.gov Data https://www.mbcalliance.org/clinical-trials-in-metastatic-breast-cancer

Cause of Death <a href="http://flowingdata.com/2016/01/05/causes-of-death/">http://flowingdata.com/2016/01/05/causes-of-death/</a>

How You Die <a href="http://flowingdata.com/2016/01/19/how-you-will-die">http://flowingdata.com/2016/01/19/how-you-will-die</a>

Periodic Table of graphs <u>http://www.visual-literacy.org/periodic\_table/periodic\_table.html</u>

D3.js Library https://github.com/d3/d3/wiki/Gallery

#### Outline

- Introduction
- The Ever Expanding Data Sources for Safety Data
- Challenges of Safety Data
- Need for Graphs in the Analysis of Safety Data
- General considerations
- The Push Towards Quantitative Safety
- Defining Visual Analytics
- Examples
- Conclusion
- References

#### Introduction

#### About the ASA Biopharm Safety Monitoring Work Group

• <u>http://community.amstat.org/biop/workinggroups/safety/safety-whoweare</u>



### The Ever Expanding Data Sources for Safety Data

#### Safety assessment on a continuum

Ever expanding sources for safety data

- Challenge finding ways to harness the data from these new sources in safety profiling of drugs
  - See: Zink et al (2018): sources of safety data <u>http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/2168479017738980</u>





#### The Ever Expanding Data Sources for Safety Data



Source: https://www.diaglobal.org/productfiles/22993/day%202/303/s303%2005 wayne%20kubick.pdf

#### The Ever Expanding Data Sources for Safety Data



#### Challenges of Safety Data

•Safety data present many challenges with regard to analysis and interpretation

#### •For example in the clinical space:

- Clinical trials not powered to detect safety signals
- Safety data are multidimensional and interrelated in nature
- Pathological features of diseases lead to heterogeneous subpopulations and data with non-normal distributions
- Using tabular formats for safety data results in large volumes of output
- Descriptive summary tabular outputs, especially displays going over many pages are not easy to interpret
- Patient data listings can be quite cumbersome and are rarely analytical

Need for use of graphical methods in safety data has been long recognized!

Wittes (1996)	A plethora of tables and graphs that describe safety may bury some true signal in a cacophony of numbers
Harrell (2005)	<ul> <li>Graphs, Not Tables!</li> <li>Have pity on statistical and medical reviewers</li> <li>Difficult to see patterns in tables</li> <li>Substituting graphs for tables increases efficiency of review</li> </ul>
Amit, Heiberger, and Lane (2008)	There is a great opportunity to enhance evaluation of drug safety through the use of graphical displays, which can convey multiple pieces of information concisely and more effectively than can tables.
Krause and O'Connell (2012)	A Picture is Worth a Thousand Tables Graphics in Life Sciences
Vlachos (2015)	Graphics are an underutilized resource in safety
McKain, Jackson, and Elko-Simms (2015)	Traditional case reviews and TLs not sufficient for safety surveillance principles – use graphs
Regulatory Guidance	ICH-E3, FDA Safety Review Guidance (2005) – some recommendations for using visuals

#### Some past efforts

- Individual commendable efforts, e.g.,
  - Frank Harrel
    - <u>http://biostat.mc.vanderbilt.edu/wiki/pub/Main/FHHandouts/gsksafety.pdf</u>
    - <u>http://biostat.mc.vanderbilt.edu/wiki/Main/RCTGraphics</u>

#### • Shi-Tao Yeh

- http://www.lexjansen.com/pharmasug/2007/po/PO10.pdf
- http://www2.sas.com/proceedings/forum2007/164-2007.pdf
- <a href="http://www.lexjansen.com/nesug/nesug07/po/po23.pdf">http://www.lexjansen.com/nesug/nesug07/po/po23.pdf</a>
- http://www2.sas.com/proceedings/sugi31/181-31.pdf
- Jonathan Levine
  - http://www.gersonides.com/r/

- Some collaborative commendable efforts, e.g.,
  - CTSPedia
    - <u>http://www.ctspedia.org/do/view/CTSpedia/AllGraphicalEntries</u>
  - A Picture is Worth a Thousand Tables
    - http://www.elmo.ch/doc/life-science-graphics/



- Above individual and collaborative efforts cover common industry practic



Graph enhancement? Interactivity, dynamic, animation, drill down, connectivity, etc

### General considerations – Question-Based Approach

- First, we need to decide what is the safety question that we want to address?
- Determine what data will be used to address the question, or what sort of questions can be addressed with the available data



- The safety question will ultimately determine the graph type, i.e., the choice of the visual that will be used
- Selection of the visual type or graph type may also be driven by the nature of the event in terms of AE Tier categories (Crowe, et al, 2009)
- Ultimately, the safety question and graph type will dictate the right tool to use in safety monitoring

#### General considerations – Graph Complexity



### General considerations – Graphing Principles

#### Some key graph principles include

- Graph content
- Communication
- Information
- Annotation, axes, and style
- All these are important to ensure that we have good and successful visualization of the data, especially in the context of safety monitoring

### General considerations – Graphing Principles

**Graph Principles** 

Duke (2014), Duke et al (2015) - Good graphing principles and good graphic design

- Graphs for safety data must also adhere to good graphing principles and good design for graph construction
- There must be a goal, a story, information to be delivered and a visual form to make visualization successful
- These considerations are especially important in the context of safety in order to help identify safety signals early using visual forms



Source: <u>https://infobeautiful4.s3.amazonaws.com/2015/05/2552</u> What-Makes-a-Good-Infoviz-frame01.png

### General considerations – Choosing the Right Graph Type

#### Choosing the right graph type

o The most appropriate graph type depends on the clinical question and data available







Source: http://image-store.slidesharecdn.com/8913dddf-23da-4e4f-adb5-802a735b899e-original.png

#### The Push Towards Quantitative Safety



### The Push Towards Quantitative Safety

On the question of statistical inference of safety data

• Big question, many challenges! Controversial, varying opinions on what, when, how, and interpretation given the many statistical challenges! But value of inference on safety data is well recognized! Topic for another day!



#### Two broad views

- Bringing static graphs to life via some enhancement, e.g., interactivity, drill down, animation, dynamic, etc
- Statistical analysis and algorithms





#### Some attempts using SAS and JAVA byYeh (2007)



#### ...and FDA is doing it too!



#### Examples – Clinical Trial Data, Adverse Events

#### AE Data Flow



### Some Questions to Ask on AEs



#### Some Questions to Ask on AEs





#### AE Plots – Many Available Charts to Graphically Present AEs

#### AE Magnitudes Bar Charts



Bar Charts

#### AE Magnitudes Dot Plots – Many variations



## Dot plot

Subjects wit	h Adver	se Eve	ents	by Tre	atment	Grou	ıp		
	A	(n=39)	в	(n=36)	0	10	20		30
Aetabolic and nutritional									
Peripheral edema	32	(82.1%)	23	(63.9%)				Δ	0
Hyperlipemia	18	(46.2%)	17	(47.2%)			Δ	_	
Hyperkalemia	12	(30.8%)	15	(41.7%)		0	Δ		
Creatinine increased	16	(41.0%)	10	(27.8%)		Δ	0		
Hyperglycemia	12	(30.8%)	12	(33.3%)		0			
Acidosis	8	(20.5%)	12	(33.3%)		0 Δ			
Edema	10	(25.6%)	10	(27.8%)		0			
Hypophosphatemia	11	(28.2%)	9	(25.0%)		Δ0			
Healing abnormal	10	(25.6%)	7	(19.4%)	1	<u>٥</u>			
Hypokalemia	7	(17.9%)	5	(13.9%)	Δ(	)			
Hypercholesteremia	8	(20.5%)	2	(5.6%)	Δ	0			
Hypomagnesemia	5	(12.8%)	5	(13.9%)	0				
Weight gain	6	(15.4%)	4	(11.1%)	<b>∆</b> 0				
Hypocalcemia	6	(15.4%)	3	(8.3%)	Δ Ο				
Hypoglycemia	3	(7.7%)	4	(11.1%)	0				
Hyponatremia	3	(7.7%)	4	(11.1%)	0				
Weight loss	3	(7.7%)	2	(5.6%)	20				
Hypercalcemia	1	(2.6%)	3	(8.3%)	OΔ				
Hyperphosphatemia	2	(5.1%)	2	(5.6%)	0				
Lactic dehydrogenase increased	4	(10.3%)	0	(0.0%)	Δ Ο				
Alkalosis	2	(5.1%)	0	(0.0%)	<u> </u>				
Dehydration	1	(2.6%)	1	(2.8%)					
Electrolyte abnormality	0	(0.0%)	2	(5.6%)	QΔ				
Alkaline phosphatase increased	0	(0.0%)	1	(2.8%)	0				

Source: <u>https://pharmasug.org/proceedings/2017/DV/PharmaSUG-2017-DV03.pdf</u> Source: <u>https://github.com/RhoInc/aeplot</u>

#### With Interactivity - Analytics

<ul> <li>Use example data</li> </ul>		AE Explorer	Data view	Visualize Difference	es						
Upload your own data se	t	Show Preferred	ed Terms 🕑 T	otal Column 🕑 Diff	erence Column						
Participant ID		Summarize by:	earticipant	event Filter b	y prevalence: >	0 %			Search		
USUBJID	•	AFSER <sup>E</sup> AFSEV <sup>E</sup>	AFREI	Ε	AFOUT <sup>E</sup>						
Higher-level term		N A MODER		KELY RELATED	RECOVERED	*					
AEBODSYS		Y SEVERE MILD	Y SEVENE PROBABLY RELATED RESOLVED, RECOVERED MILD NOT RELATED RESOLVED WITHOUT SEQUELA POSSIBLY RELATED RESOLVED WITHOUT SEQUELAE								
Lower-level term					Groups	~		AE Rate by group	Difference Between Groups		
AEDECOD	-		Category		(n=50)	(n=50)	Total (n=150)	0 5 10 15 20 25	-20 -10 0 10 20		
Sroup column		+ Gastrointesti	nal disorders	26.0%	26.0%	22.0%	24.7%	• ••	$\Phi\Phi$		
ARM	-	+ Infections and	d infestations	24.0%	14.0%	18.0%	18.7%	• • •	$\Phi = \Phi \Phi$		
Groups		+ Injury, poison complication	ing and proced	ura 18.0%	20.0%	12.0%	16.7%	• •• •	$\Phi \Rightarrow \Phi$		
Placebo Treatment A Tre	eatment B	+ Respiratory, t mediastina di	horacic and isorders	10.0%	16.0%	22.0%	16.0%	• • •	$\Phi \Phi$		
	Event Filters	+ Investigation	5	18.0%	18.0%	10.0%	15.3%	• • •	$\Phi$ $\Phi$		
Participant Filters	AESER AESEV AEREL AEOUT	+ Reproductive disorders	system and bre	east 16.0%	12.0%	12.0%	13.3%	•• •	${}^{\rm O}\!\Phi$		
ariables to include in detail	slisting	+ Musculoskele tissu disorder	tal and connect	tive 20.0%	12.0%	4.0%	12.0%	• • •	◆ ◆		
		+ Nervous syste	em disorders	8.0%	10.0%	18.0%	12.0%	••• •			
Value for missing AEs +		+ Cardiac disor	+ Cardiac disorders		10.0%	8.0%	10.0%	•••			
empty string NA N/A + Renal and uring		nary disorders	10.0%	6.0%	12.0%	9.3%	• • •	$\Phi \Phi \Phi$			
Uninki eferinin Width eferinin - Dedius eferinin		+ Endocrine dis	orders	10.0%	8.0%	8.0%	8.7%				
eight of points whath of po	onits Radius of points	+ Metabolism a	nd nutrition dis	orders 6.0%	6.0%	14.0%	8.7%	•••	$\Phi$ $\Phi$		
Create Report		+ General disor administratio	ders and n sit conditions	4.0%	12.0%	6.0%	7.3%	• •• •	$\Phi \Phi \Phi$		

Source: <u>https://becca-krouse.shinyapps.io/safetyapp/</u> Source: <u>https://github.com/RhoInc/safetyexploreR</u>

The Safety Explorer Suite: Interactive Safety Monitoring for Clinical Trials

Safety Monitoring for Clinical Trials The Auber(1) 2014 Jeremy Wildfire, MS<sup>1</sup>, Ryan Bailey, MA<sup>1</sup>©, Rebecca Z. Krouse, MS<sup>1</sup>, Spencer Childress, BS<sup>1</sup>, Britt Sikora, MS<sup>1</sup>, Nathan Bryant, BS<sup>1</sup>, Shane Rosanbalm, MS<sup>1</sup>, Emily Wilson, BS<sup>1</sup>, and Jack G. Modell, MD<sup>1</sup>





Source: https://blogs.sas.com/content/graphicallyspeaking/2015/10/31/adverse-events-graph-with-nnt/

#### Dot plot + RR and CIs and Shiny App - Analytics

AEdotplot		library(HH)
data.frame on search list	Most Frequent On-Therapy Adverse Events	head(AEdata)
AEdata 💌	ARTIRALGA - A	AEdotplot(AE ~ nAE/nTRT, groups = TRT, data = AEdata)
	NUISEA	AEdotplot(AE ~ nAE/nTRT   OrgSys, groups = TRT, data = AEdata)
Adverse Event	HEMATURIA NSOMNA	AEdotplot(AE ~ nAE/nTRT, groups = TRT, data = AEdata, sortbyVar="PCT")
AE	VOMITING 6 278 DYSPERSA	AEdotplot(AE ~ nAE/nTRT, groups = TRT, data = AEdata, sortbyVar="PCT",
number AE observed	VEIGHT DECREME 2 0.93	sortbyVarBegin=2)
	FATGUE 4 185	$\Delta E dot n lot (\Delta E \sim n \Delta E / n T R T groups = T R T data = \Delta E data$
IIAC *	FLATUENCE 6 278	(4 1) corthyPelativeRick=EALSE)
number on Treatment	UUZZNISS 9 4.17 ABDOMINU PAN	$\frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{10} $
ntrt	RESPRATOR/DISORDER	AEdolpiol(AE ^ nAE/nTRT   OrgSys, groups = TRT, data = AEdata,
	NURY 12 556 GASTROESOPMGENLREFLUX 8 5 231	sortbyVar="ase.logrelrisk")
Treatment groups	B/CKP/M	AEdotplot(AE ~ nAE/nTRT   OrgSys, groups = TRT,
TRT 🔻	HYPERVILENA 4 155	data = AEdata[c(AEdata\$OrgSys %in% c("GI","Resp")),])
	NFECTONVEX. 5.6 SINUSITS	ABCD.12345 <- AEdata[1:12.]
Condition	UPPER RESP TRACT INTECTION URNARY TRACT INTECTION 6 2.78	$\frac{1}{27}$ 10 head(ABCD 12345)
None 🔻	MYJLGA	$ = \frac{1}{2} \frac$
Dend hu	MEDVA 7 324	AEUOLIOLIAE TIAE/TITRT   OTGSYS, groups=TRT, uala=ABCD.12345)
Sort by	BRONCHTE	AEdotplot(AE ~ nAE/nTRT   OrgSys, groups=TRT, data=ABCD.12345,
Relative Risk (RR)	CHEST PAN 9 4.17 CHRONIC 06STRUCTIVE ARRWY	
		tmp <- AEdotplot(AE ~ nAE/nTRT, groups = TRT, data = AEdata)
	0 10 20 00 .125 5 1 2 4 8 16 32 Am Apd	nrint(tmp_AFtable=FALSE)
Pixel Height	▲ C ( I = C ( I = C ( I = C ) ) AE ~ nAE / nTRT , groups= TRT , data= AEdata	shiny:runApp(system file("chiny/AEdetplot"_package="44"))
		ShinyunApp(system.nie( shiny/Acuotpiot , package= HH ))


# Dot plot + Adjusted Analysis - Analytics

Ref: http://www.sctweb.org/public/meetings/2015/slides/CPS%2013%20-%20Carragher.pdf

# Dot plot + Adjusted Analysis – Analytics, R Shiny App

C:/Users/munsams/Desktop/Shiny AE line plot/runApp.R - Shiny	- 🗆 X	Methods for Detecting Safety Signals in C	Clinical Trials
p://127.0.0.1:3812 2 Open in Browser ©	S Publish	Choose CSV File Browse set1.csv Upload.compilete	DPRONC OUSTRUCTIVE ARRAY
Choose CSV File		Multiplicity Adjustment Method Benjamini Hochberg Procedure	ISSNE
Browse No file selected		Number of Subjects in Control Group	SRUSITS
Multiplicity Adjustment Method		216  Number of Subjects in Treatment Group	Repertion WAA
Fisher's Exact Test	•	Threshold for p-Value	DUZINESS CHEST PAR
Fisher's Exact Test			BROIDHTS MELENA VOITING
Bonferroni Correction Benjamini-Hochberg Procedure		0.8	URNARY TRACT INFECTION
Double False Discovery Rate Berry and Berry Three-Level Hierarchical Model			и Аланова Аланова Аланова яссялатон располост якан якан
Threshold for p-Value			INCOMER
0.05			ллижие WB04T сескова НЕМАТИНА
Threshold for Posterior Probability			
0.8			10 20 20 Percentage ● Control, № 216 ● Treatment, № 431

Misha R Programs (2013) + Raymond Carragher (2015) C212 R package

Source: <u>https://ww2.amstat.org/meetings/fdaworkshop/index.cfm?fuseaction=AbstractDetails&AbstractID=302825</u>. Source: <u>http://personal.strath.ac.uk/raymond.carragher/</u>

# Examples – Spontaneous Reports Systems (SRS)

# Examples – Spontaneous Reports Systems (SRS)



#### openFDA Tools: https://open.fda.gov/tools/



Others, CAERS (Canadian), Vigibase

## Some Questions to Ask on AEs

What is the total number of AE reported to FAERS database? How is each AE occurrence compared with other AEs?

Is there a relationship with other AEs? Is there a relationship with use of concomitant medications? Which AEs are occurring together in clusters or in a constellation?

Is the potential reported AE of interest increasing over time?

Which AEs could be a safety signal? Are there any surprises in the data?

Which AEs are elevated in patient subgroups?

AE patterns across multiple population subgroups

# AE Plots – Many Available Charts to Graphically Present AEs



Source: <a href="https://research.cchmc.org/aers/home">https://research.cchmc.org/aers/home</a>

### Volcano Plot + Adjusted Analysis – Analytics



**Volcano Plot of Significant Adverse Drug Event (ADE) Signals.** In the volcano plot of ADE signals, the signal detection result shows the magnitude (log2 reporting odds ratio [ROR], x-axis) and significance (– log10 adjusted *P* value, y-axis) for sex- drug-event combinations associations of specific drugs. Each spot represents a specific drug- drug-event combination interaction. The dashed horizontal green line signals statistical significance threshold ( $P \le 0.05$  after adjustment with Bonferroni correction). Two vertical green lines show the threshold of ROR (log2 ROR > 1 or < - 1). The blue spots represent the drug-event combinations more frequently associated with female patients; the red spots, drug-event combinations more frequently associated with male patients.

Systematic Analysis of Adverse Event Reports for Sex Differences in Adverse Drug Events Yua Yu<sup>3</sup>, Jun Charl, Dingchang Li<sup>3</sup>, Liwai Wang<sup>1</sup>& Hongfung Liu<sup>3</sup>

# Examples - Social Media/Web Data

# Examples - Social Media/Web Data

Natural Language Processing (NLP)

- Data from web sources/social medial outlets: Clinicatrial.gov, FDA website, EMA website, PubMed, Twitter, Facebook, Special Platforms, e.g., PatientsLikeMe, WebMD, etc
- Largely unstructured text data
- Safety data from social media should be part of a comprehensive safety assessment program
  - Patients are more likely to post drug-related AEs on Twitter than report them to the FDA (<u>http://www.policymed.com/2014/10/mining-social-media-for-adverse-events.html</u>)
- The new data landscape is complex and needs to be strategically looked at
- Being proactive is critical good business sense
- Can discover valuable product and patient insights from monitoring social media, including:
  - Patient concerns and behaviors and potential product abuse and misuse
  - Tolerance and compliance and gain insights related to brand awareness and sentiment
  - Learn about product use patterns and preferences in the real world

# Examples - Social Media/Web Data

Key Potential benefit  $\rightarrow$  Improve patient outcomes with detection

- If an error or AE is not detected, it cannot be managed
- Detection can help improve cognitive processes surrounding possible future events
- Help place resources in prevention efforts:
  - Nadarajah (2017): Side effects were seen as a major reason for switchover based on social media conversations
  - Gurulingappa, et al (2013): Automatic detection of AEs to predict drug label changes using text and data mining techniques
  - Jensen, et al (2017): Analysis of free text in EHR for identification of cancer patient trajectories
- Questions to ask same as in SRS

# NLP - Typical Data Flow

#### Key Concepts

- Information retrieval
- Tokenization
- Normalization
- Lemmatization
- Document
- Corpus
- Stop words
- Parts-of-Speech-Tagging
- N-grams
- Bag-of-words
- Polarity
- Term Frequency Inverse Document Frequency (tf-idf)
- Document-Term Matrix/Term-Document Matrix
- Analysis



## NLP - Typical Data Flow



# NLP - Typical Data Flow



#### Data Descriptor: A dataset of 200 structured product labels annotated for adverse drug reactions SCIENTFIC DATA [ 5:180381 [ DOI: 10.10388/data.2018.1 Dina Demner-Fushman<sup>1</sup>, Sonya E. Shooshan<sup>1</sup>, Laritza Rodriguez<sup>1</sup>, Alan R. Aronson<sup>1</sup>, Francois Lang<sup>1</sup>, Willie Rogers<sup>2</sup>, Kirk Roberts<sup>2</sup> & Joseph Tonning<sup>3</sup>

# NLP in the Context of Safety Data

### Example of patient text data

Sample Comments	Classification	Annotations
20s 8th day with #Effexor still experiencing some side effects (drowsiness,sleepiness,GI effects). Moderate improvement in mood #depression	hasADR	"drowsiness" - drowsiness: adverse effect, "sleepiness" - sleepiness: adverse effect, "GI effect" - gastro intestinal reaction: adverse effect, "depression" - depression: indication
Over-eaten AGAIN just before bed. Stuffed. Good chance I will choke on my own vomit during sleep. I blame #Olanzapine #timetochange #bipolar	hasADR	"over-eaten" – increased appetite: adverse effect, "bipolar" – bipolar disorder: indication
@brokenmind_ Quetiapine was horrific for me in relation to wait gain. Such a horror story. But the weight will come off one day at a time.	hasADR	"wait gain" – weight gain: adverse effect
Tomorrow, my second infusion of Tysabri! Good luck for me! #Godblessme #MSLife	noADR	"MS" multiple sclerosis: indication

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4419871/

### Regulators are also Interested



https://pink.pharmaintelligence.informa.com/PS055880/Adverse-Events-In-Social-Media-FDA-Expects-Signal-Detection-Revolution

# NLP in the Context of Safety Data

### Some challenges

- Drugs may be described by their brand names, active ingredients, colloquialisms or generic drug terms
- AEs may be referred to using creative idiomatic expressions or terms not found within existing medical lexicons
- Informal nature of social media results in a prevalence of poor grammar, spelling mistakes, abbreviations and slang
- Existence of a side effect may be clear while the specific side effect experienced remains unclear
- Discussion of a drug could involve indications, beneficial effects or concerns of an adverse event
- Analysis methods, such as ML, while powerful, need training data which requires time-consuming and expensive generation of human-annotated data
- Transcription errors spelling or grammar
- Synonymy, related/similar terms, abbreviations (often redundant), context-specific meanings
- Challenge for dealing with uncertainty, negation, and timing

# Machine Learning (ML) Overview



Unsupervised learning

۲

– find hidden patterns or intrinsic structures in input data

# Visualization of Text Data



# Visualization of Text Data











## Harnessing ML - Many Choices, example Naïve Bayes



### Some Tools

#### Free/Open Source

#### Some R Tools

#### • Special packages

- Pubmed.mineR: https://zipfslaw.org/2015/10/19/pubmed-miner/
- RISMed: <a href="https://amunategui.github.io/pubmed-query/">https://amunategui.github.io/pubmed-query/</a>
- Rclinicaltrials: <u>https://github.com/sachsmc/rclinicaltrials</u>
- MedlineR: <u>https://github.com/akastrin/MedlineR</u>
- R Shiny:
  - Interactive Text Mining Suite (ITMS): <u>http://www.interactivetextminingsuite.com</u>
  - Text Classification App: <u>https://anishsingh.shinyapps.io/text\_classification\_app/</u>

#### Some Python Tools

- NLTK: <u>https://www.nltk.org/</u>
- SpaCy: <u>https://spacy.io/</u>
- Pattern: <u>https://github.com/clips/pattern</u>
- TextBlob: <u>http://textblob.readthedocs.org/en/dev/</u>
- Gensim: <u>https://github.com/piskvorky/gensim</u>
- PyNLPI: <u>https://pypi.python.org/pypi/polyglot</u>

#### Some Open Source/Online Tools

Different degrees of functionality

- LimTox: <u>http://limtox.bioinfo.cnio.es/</u>
- NLPReViz: https://nlpreviz.github.io/
- ADRMine: <u>http://diego.asu.edu/Publications/ADRMine.html</u>
- cTakes: <u>http://ctakes.apache.org/</u>
- Stanford CoreNLP: <u>https://stanfordnlp.github.io/CoreNLP/</u>

# Concluding Remarks

- Explosion of clinical health data has created a trove of information that can be leveraged to accelerate discovery and delivery of new drugs and therapy that ultimately improve the health of patients
- Need to harness all available data sources in characterizing drug safety profiles pre- and post-marketing, including unstructured text based data sources
- Visual analytics can help in safety monitoring and safety data analysis in general
- Utilizing visualization tools can help exploration and substantially improve information gain for safety monitoring activities
- One should however take into consideration important principles of graph construction in order to render them visuals useful in safety monitoring
- Ultimately, the visual type and tool used will depend on the question or questions under consideration in the safety monitoring activity
- By considering various enhancements, one can select visualizations and tools that are most useful for the end-user and reporting to address various questions with a wide range of functionality to allow for efficient safety monitoring
- Software tools, commercial and open sources, are available that can help in analyzing text based data



About, M. Hands-on data mining, digging up clinicaltrials.gov data with SAS 9. PhUSE, 2015, Paper CS05, <u>https://www.lexjansen.com/phuse/2015/cs/CS05.pdf</u>

Amit, O., Heiberger, R. M., Lane, P. W., (2008), Graphical approaches to the analysis of safety data from clinical trials, *Pharm Stat.*, **7**, 20-35.

Amit, O., Heiberger, R. M., Lane, P. W., (2009). Graphical Approaches to the Analysis of Safety Data from Clinical Trials. http://www.mbswonline.com/upload/presentation\_Richard7-26-2009-21-42-29.pdf

Ball, R. (2017). Why is FDA Interested in Natural Language Processing (NLP) of Clinical Texts? Applications to Pharmacovigilance and Pharmacoepidemiology. <u>https://pharm.ucsf.edu/sites/pharm.ucsf.edu/files/cersi/media-browser/Ball.pdf</u>

Benjamini, Y., Hochberg, Y., (1995). Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, Series B, Methodological, **57**, 289-300.

Benjamini, Y., Krieger, A. M., Yekutieli, D. (2006). Adaptive linear step-up procedures that control the false discovery rate. *Biometrika*, **93**, 491–507.

Benjamini, Y., Hochberg, Y. (2000). On the Adaptive Control of the False Discovery Rate in Multiple Testing With Independent Statistics. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, **25**, 60–83.

Berry, S., Berry, D., (2004). Accounting for Multiplicities in Assessing Drug Safety: A Three-Level Hierarchical Mixture Model, *Biometrics*, **60**, 418-426.

Bresnick, J. (2017).Machine Learning Can Flag Adverse Drug Events in Unstructured Data, 2017, <u>https://healthitanalytics.com/news/machine-learning-can-flag-adverse-drug-events-in-unstructured-data</u>

Carragher, R. (2015), 2015, A Comparison of Some Methods for Detection of Safety Signals in Randomised Controlled Trials. http://personal.strath.ac.uk/raymond.carragher/files/SCT\_2015/RCarragher\_SCT2015\_Contributed\_Paper\_corrected.pdf

Carragher, R. (2015), A Comparison of Some Methods for Detection of Safety Signals in Randomised Controlled Clinical Trials. http://personal.strath.ac.uk/raymond.carragher/files/SCT\_2015/RCarragher\_SCT2015.pdf

Chakravarty, A. g., Izem, R., Keeton, S., Kim, C. Y., Levenson, M. S., Soukup, M. (2016). The role of quantitative safety evaluation in regulatory decision making of drugs. Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics, 26, 17-29.

D3 gallery! https://github.com/d3/d3/wiki/Gallery

Duke, S. P., Bancken, F., Crowe, B., Soukup, M., Botsis, T., Forshee, R., (2015), Seeing is believing: good graphic design principles for medical research, Statist. Medic., 34, 3040-3059.

Duke, S. P., Crowe, B., Forshee, R. F., Seeing is believing! Good graphic design principles for medical research: <u>https://www.ctspedia.org/wiki/pub/CTSpedia/GraphicsPresentationArchive/DIA2014\_Susan\_Duke\_Graphics.pdf</u>.

Duke, S. P., Decision making and safety in clinical trials – graphs make a Difference!, <u>https://www.ctspedia.org/wiki/pub/CTSpedia/GraphicsPresentationArchive/Duke\_Susan\_DIA11\_v3.pdf</u>.

Duke, S. P., Bancken, F., Crowe, B., Soukup, M., Botsis, T., Forshee, R., Translating complex clinical trial and post-market safety data into visual stories: Seeing is believing: Good graphic design principles for medical research, http://www.fda.gov/downloads/BiologicsBloodVaccines/ScienceResearch/UCM490777.pdf.

FAERS: Questions and Answers on FDA's Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS)

https://www.fda.gov/drugs/guidancecomplianceregulatoryinformation/surveillance/adversedrugeffects/default.Htm

FAERS: FDA Adverse Event Reporting System. https://open.fda.gov/data/faers/

FAERS: OpenFDA Powered Research Tools: <u>https://open.fda.gov/tools/</u>

Federer, C., Yoo, M., Tan, A. C., (2016). Big Data Mining and Adverse Event Pattern Analysis in Clinical Drug Trials, Assay Drug Dev Technol, 14, 557–566. <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5175440/pdf/adt.2016.742.pdf</u>

Freifeld, C, C., Brownstein, J. S,, Menone, C. M., Bao, W., Filice, R., Kass-Hout, T., Dasgupta, N., (2014), Digital drug safety surveillance: monitoring pharmaceutical products in twitter. Drug Saf., 37, 343-350.

Fries, M., Kracht, K. K., Li, J., Munsaka, M. S., SanchezKam, M., Singh, K. P., Wang, W., Whalen, E., Zhou. K. (2016). Safety Monitoring Methodology in the Premarketing Setting JSM 2016 Proceedings, Biopharmaceutical Section, 2247 - 2269. https://ww2.amstat.org/MembersOnly/proceedings/2016/data/assets/pdf/389675.pdf

Gurulingappa, H., Toldo, L., Rajput, A.M., Kors, J. A., Taweel, A., Tayrouz., Y., (2013). Automatic detection of adverse events to predict drug label changes using text and data mining techniques. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, **22**, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3493</u>

Harrell, F. (2005). Exploratory Analysis of Clinical Safety Data to Detect Safety Signals. <u>http://biostat.mc.vanderbilt.edu/wiki/pub/Main/FHHandouts/gsksafety.pdf</u>.

Henriksson, A., Kvist, M., Dalianis, H., Duneld, M., (2015). Identifying adverse drug event information in clinical notes with distributional semantic representations of context. *J. Biomed. Inform.*, 2015, **57**, 333–349.

Jensen, K., Soguero-Ruiz, C., Mikalsen, K. O., Lindsetmo, R-O., Kouskoumvekaki, I., Girolami, M., Skrovseth, S. O., Augestad, K. M. (2017. Analysis of free text in electronic health records for identification of cancer patient trajectories, Scientific Reports, **7**, <u>https://www.nature.com/articles/srep46226.pdf</u>

Krause, A., O'Connell, M. (eds), (2012), A Picture is Worth a Thousand Tables: Graphics in Life Sciences, Springer, New York.

Levine, J. Graphics for Clinical Trials. <u>http://www.gersonides.com/r/</u>

Lin, S. M., McConnell, P, Johnson, K. F., Shoemaker, J., (2004). MedlineR: an open source library in R for Medline literature data mining. *Bioinformatics Applications Note*, 2004, **20**, 3659–3661.

Makinen, M. (2016). Communicating results with R&Shiny. https://www.phusewiki.org/docs/Conference%202016%20DV%20Papers/DV02.pdf

McKain, L., Jackson, T., Elko-Simms, C., (2016), Optimizing Safety Surveillance During Clinical Trials Using Data Visualization Tools. <u>http://www.dddmag.com/articles/2015/10/optimizing-safety-surveillance-duringclinical-trials-using-data-visualization-tools</u>.

Mehrotra, D. V. Heyse, J. F. (2004). Use of the false discovery rate for evaluating clinical safety data. Stat Methods Med Res, **13**, 227–38, 2004.

Mehrotra, D. V., Adewale, A. J. (2012). Flagging clinical adverse experiences: reducing false discoveries without materially compromising power for detecting true signals. *Statist.*. *Medic.*, **31**, 1918-1930.

Munsaka, M. S., (2017). Levering machine learning in the analysis of safety data in drug research and healthcare informatics JSM Proceedings, Section for Statistical Programmers and Analysts, 326 - 334. https://ww2.amstat.org/MembersOnly/proceedings/2017/data/assets/pdf/593804.pdf

Munsaka, M. S., Zhou, Kracht, K. K., (2017), Enhancing Visual Analytics Approaches in Safety Monitoring, JSM Proceedings, Biopahrm Section, 826 – 839. <u>https://ww2.amstat.org/MembersOnly/proceedings/2017/data/assets/pdf/593847.pdf</u>

Munsaka, M. S., Zhou, K., Singh, K. P., (2018) Visual Analytics in the Real World Evidence Data Realm. JSM Presentation: https://ww2.amstat.org/meetings/jsm/2018/onlineprogram/AbstractDetails.cfm?abstractid=329219.

Munsaka, M.S. (2018). A Question-Based Approach to the Analysis of Safety Data. Volume 2 Biostatistical, Analysis of Clinical Trials. In Biopharmaceutical Applied Statistics Symposium, K. E. Peace, Chen, D-G., Menon, S. (eds), 193-216.

Nadarajah, S. (2017). Managing Adverse Events in Social Media and Unstructured Data. Internet resource.

Patel, R., Belousov, M., Jani, M., Dasgupta, N., Winakor, C., Nenadic, G., Dixon, W. G. (2018). Frequent discussion of insomnia and weight gain with glucocorticoid therapy: an analysis of Twitter posts, *Digital Medicine*, **1**, <u>https://www.nature.com/articles/s41746-017-0007-z.pdf</u>

Pierce, C. E., Bouri, K., Pamer, C., Proestel, S., Rodriguez, H. W., Van Le, H., Freifeld, C. C., Brownstein, J. S., Walderhaug, M., Edwards, I. R., Dasgupta, N. (2017). Evaluation of Facebook and Twitter Monitoring to Detect Safety Signals for Medical Products: An Analysis of Recent FDA Safety *Alerts. Drug Saf*, 2017, **40**, 317–331.

Poluzzi, E., Raschi, E., Piccinni, C., De Ponti, F., (2012). Data Mining Techniques in Pharmacovigilance: Analysis of the Publicly Accessible FDA Adverse Event Reporting System (AERS), Data Mining Applications in Engineering and Medicine Adem Karahoca, IntechOpen, DOI: 10.5772/50095. <u>https://www.intechopen.com/books/data-mining-applications-in-engineering-and-medicine/data-mining-techniques-in-pharmacovigilance-analysis-of-the-publicly-accessible-fda-adverse-event-re</u>

Rajapaksha, P., (2015). Identifying Adverse Drug Reactions by Analyzing Twitter Messages. 15th International Conference on Advances in ICT for Emerging Regions, ICTer2015, 557 – 566. <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5175440/pdf/adt.2016.742.pdf</u>

Rocca, M. (2015). Lessons learned from NLP implementations at FDA. NLP Workshop. <u>https://pharm.ucsf.edu/sites/pharm.ucsf.edu/files/cersi/media-browser/Rocca.pdf</u>

Salganik, M. (2013). Visualization of the Adverse Events Data.

https://ww2.amstat.org/meetings/fdaworkshop/index.cfm?fuseaction=AbstractDetails&AbstractID=302825.

Sarangdhar, M., Tabar, S., Schmidt, C., Kushwaha, A., Shah, K., Dahlquist, J. E., Jegga, A. G., Aronow, B. J. (2016). Data mining differential clinical outcomes associated with drug regimens using adverse event reporting data. Nature Biotechnology, 34, 697–700. https://research.cchmc.org/aers/explore.jsp

Sarker, A., et al. Utilizing social media data for pharmacovigilance: A review. http://diego.asu.edu/Publications/ADRSMReview/ADRSMReview.html

SEEM5680, (2014). Text Classification: Naïve Bayes Algorithm, 2014, <u>http://www1.se.cuhk.edu.hk/~seem5680/lecture/textcat-nbayes-</u>2014.pdf

Tafti, A. P., Badger, J., LaRose, E., Shirzadi, E., Mahnke, A., Mayer, J., Ye, Z., Page, D., Peissig, P., (2017). Adverse Drug Event Discovery Using Biomedical Literature: A Big Data Neural Network Adventure. *JMIR Med Inform*, **8**, e51. doi: 10.2196/medinform.9170.

Tricco, A. C., Zarin, W., Lillie, E., Jeblee, S., Warren, R., Khan, P. A., Robson, R., Pham, B., Hirst, G., and Straus, S. E., (2018). Utility of social media and crowdintelligence data for pharmacovigilance: a scoping review BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 18:38, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6001022/pdf/12911 2018 Article 621.pdf

Vlachos, P. Graphical (and Analytical) Tools for the Systematic Analysis of Safety Data in Clinical Trials. http://www.ssfa.it/allegati/3%20-%20SafetyVisualizationFINALVlachos.pdf

Wang, W., Whalen, E., Munsaka, M, Li, J., Fries, M., Kracht, K., Sanchez -Kam, M., Singh, K., Zhou, K., (2018). On Quantitative Methods for Clinical Safety Monitoring in Drug Development, *Statistics in Biopharmaceutical Research*, **10**, 85-97.

Welbers, K., Van Atteveldt, W., Benoit, K. (2017). Text Analysis in R. Communication Methods and Measures, 2017, 11, 245–265

Weng. S., Introducing machine learning for healthcare research. <u>https://www.nottingham.ac.uk/research/groups/primarycarestratifiedmedicine/documents/s-weng-machine-learning-presentation-25.1.18.pdf</u>

Wildfire, J., Bailey, R., Krouse, R. Z., Childress, S., Sikora, B., Bryant, N., Rosanbalm, S., Wilson, E., Modell, J. G. (2018). The Safety Explorer Suite Interactive Safety Monitoring for Clinical Trials. Therapeutic Innovation and Regulatory Science, Published online: https://doi.org/10.1177/2168479018754846

Wittes, J., (1996), A statistical perspective on adverse event reporting in clinical trials. Biopharm. Report.

C. E. Yeow, Nomograms visualization of Naive Bayes classification on liver disorders data, 2006, <a href="https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/8876/a3a890278a459d7cb93cd21aaaad752ace67.pdf">https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/8876/a3a890278a459d7cb93cd21aaaad752ace67.pdf</a>

Xia, A. H., Ma, H., Carlin, B. P. (2011). Bayesian hierarchical modeling for detecting safety signals in clinical trials, J. *Biopharm. Statist.*, **21**, 1006-1029.

Yekutieli, D. (2008). False discovery rate control for non-positively regression dependent test statistics. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, **138**, 405-415.

Yeh, S-T. (2007). Clinical Adverse Events Data Analysis and Visualization. http://www.lexjansen.com/pharmasug/2007/po/PO10.pdf

Yeh, S-T. (2007). SAS® Constellation Diagram Has Many Faces. http://www2.sas.com/proceedings/forum2007/164-2007.pdf

Yeh, S-T. (2007). A SAS<sup>®</sup> Constellation Diagram Has Many Faces. <u>http://www.lexjansen.com/nesug/nesug07/po/po23.pdf</u>

Yeh, S-T. (2006). Interactive Graphs from the SAS System. <u>http://www2.sas.com/proceedings/sugi31/181-31.pdf</u>

Zink, R. C., Wolfinger, R. D., Mann, G., (2013), Summarizing the incidence of adverse events using volcano plots and time intervals, *Clinical Trials*, **10**, 398-406.

Back Up Slides

# Enhancing Visual Analytics and Safety Monitoring



# Subject Level Data – Estimand?

Events:		
🗹 Rash	🗹 Bruise 🗹 Swelling 🗹 Cut 🗹 Gel 🗹 Cream 🗹 Tablet 🗹 Capsule	
120 -		
119 -	$\oplus$ $\bigcirc$	
118 -		
117 -	○ ⊕ ○ ⊕ ○ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕	
116 •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
115 -	⊕ ⊕○ O○ ⊕ ○ ⊕ ○ ⊕ ○ ⊕ ○ ⊕ ○ ⊕ ○ ⊕ ○ ⊕ ○	Et
114 -		Event
113 -	↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔	<ul> <li>Bruise</li> </ul>
112-		— + Capsule
는 111	$\oplus O+ \oplus O+$	- + Cream
-e∰ 110		- O Cut
ŭ 109-	○ ⊕ 여행 여+○○ ↔ 0 ⊕ 0 ⊕ 0 ⊕ 0 ⊕ 0 ⊕ 0 ⊕ 0 ⊕ 0 ⊕ 0 ⊕ 0	- + Gel
108 -	○ ⊕ 0=+0+0+0+0 00+0+0+0+0+0+0+0+0+0+0+0+0+0	O Rash
107 -	$\oplus \oplus $	O Swelling
106 -		+ Tablet
105 -	O O+O +D + + + + +O + OO+OO+OO + + + + +	
104 -		
103 -		
102 -	₩109	
101 -	G+O ©> 039; OP 0409; O 049; O 049; O 0+ 0+ 0 00 −0 0+ 0+ 0+ 0+ 0 000+040+ 0 0 0+ 0+ 0 00 0+ 0+ 0+ 00 0+ 0+ 0+ 0	
100 -		
	Days	

Mika Mäkinen, 2016 https://www.phusewiki.org/docs/Conference%202016%20DV%20Papers/DV02.pdf
## **ASA-DIA Collaboration**



# Tool of Choice

### **Tool Functionality**

- Integrated Development Environment (IDE)
- Reproducibility
- Open Source
- Applications development
- Ease of use
- Flexible
- Open source, free
- Abundant resources
- Can incorporate fairly complex applications
- Allow for different delivery modes pdf, word, ppt, html, etc

#### By Michael Grogan September 07, 2016

Shiny, R and HTML: Merging Data Science and Web Development

### R + Tools

- R Studio, R Shiny, R Markdown, R Notebook, R htmlwidgets,
- R Studio IDE → R + Python + SAS + Java + Combining R + Java + D3.js, etc
- Numerous resources/packages for analysis and graphs with enhancements, e.g., ggplot2, plotly, etc! Plenty!
- Shared resources github, Rpubs, etc
- Open source, flexibility, can use many tools, reproducibility, etc

Developing Standardized Clinical Review Tools Using Shiny In R JIMMY WONG, STATISTICIAN Food and Drug Administration/Center for Drug Evaluation and Research/Office of Biostatistics

# Tool of Choice

### **R** Toolset for Reporting

- R Markdown document: <u>http://rmarkdown.rstudio.com/</u>
- R Notebook: <u>http://rmarkdown.rstudio.com/r\_notebooks.html</u>
- R Flexdashboard: <u>http://rmarkdown.rstudio.com/flexdashboard/</u>
- R Bookdown: <u>https://bookdown.org/yihui/bookdown/</u>
- R Shiny App: <u>https://shiny.rstudio.com/</u>
- R in Clinical Research and Evidence-Based Medicine: <a href="http://www.r-clinical-research.com/">http://www.r-clinical-research.com/</a>





Example\_Flexdashboard.html

# Tool Choice

## Other tools

- R Html Widgets: <u>http://www.htmlwidgets.org/</u>
  - Bring the best of JavaScript data visualization to R
  - Use JavaScript visualization libraries at the R console, just like plots
  - Embed widgets in R Markdown documents and Shiny web applications
  - Develop new widgets using a framework that seamlessly bridges R and JavaScript
- R Crosstalk: <u>http://rstudio.github.io/crosstalk/using.html</u>
  - Crosstalk makes it easy to link multiple (Crosstalk-compatible) <u>HTML widgets</u> within an R Markdown page or Shiny app